

Available online at http://www.ijcrls.com

International Journal of Current Research in Life Sciences Vol. 07, No. 02, pp.1152-1157, February, 2018



# **RESEARCH ARTICLE**

# ECOLOGICAL AND SEASONAL VARIATION IN THE OCCURRENCE OF ENDOPHYTIC FUNGI ASSOCIATED WITH CALOTROPIS GIGANTEA

## Aruna, A., Abhinash M., Parvathi, D. and \*Krishna Reddy, V.

Mycology Laboratory, Department of Botany, Kakatiya University, Warangal - 506009 Telangana, India

Received 24th December, 2017; Accepted 07th January, 2018; Published Online 28th February, 2018

## ABSTRACT

Variation in the distribution and diversity of endophytic fungi associated with *Calotropis gigantea* with respect to ecological and seasonal conditions was assessed. It has been noticed that diversity of endophytic fungi and colonization frequency varied with the seasons with least in summer and highest in winter. A preference of colonization of different fungal species was also observed. It is also evident that diversity of endophytic fungi varied with the geographical distribution of the plant. Similarly, dominance of fungal species varied both with season and plant part. In conclusion it has been pointed out that more attention should be paid to investigating the endophytic fungal diversity in host plant growing under varied ecological and seasonal conditions which is more likely to reveal the behavior of endophytic fungi and the metabolites produced by them.

Key words: Calotropis gigantea, Ecological variation, Endophytic fungi, Colonization frequency, Dominant frequency.

**Copyright** © 2018, Aruna et al. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Citation: Aruna, A., Abhinash M., Parvathi, D. and Krishna Reddy, V., 2018. "Ecological and seasonal variation in the occurrence of endophytic fungi associated with calotropis gigantea" *International Journal of Current Research in Life Sciences*, 7, (02), 1152-1157

## **INTRODUCTION**

Calotropis gigantea commonly known as gaint milkweed or sodom apple or crown flower belongs to the family Apocyanaceae. It is widely distributed in tropics and sub tropics including India. Though grows as a wild plant, it is recognized as a useful medicinal plant mainly because of its milky latex which contains various active compounds such as is motin and lupeol and other plant parts contain cardiac glycosides, flavonoids, phenolic compounds and terpenoids (Muller et al., 2001) which are responsible for a range of its pharmacological properties. Different parts of this plant are reported to harbor a variety of fungal endophytes (Rodriguez et al., 2008, Arechavaleta et al., 1989) which confer various benefits to host plant. (Zhang et al., 2009). The biological diversity of fugal endophytes is enormous especially in tropical countries. Fungal endophytes are a rich source of novel organic compounds with wide biological activities (Giridharan et al., 2012). Strobel and Daisy (2003) reported that the plants growing in unique environmental settings, having ethno botanical uses, having extreme age or interesting endemic locations generally harbor novel endophytic microorganisms, of which the secondary metabolites are usually unique and with may ultimately be shown to have applicability in medicine. Endophytes appear to have direct and indirect effects on plant responses to biotic agents.

The interaction with biotic agents remains less clear. Environment plays a crucial role both on host and endophytes and also their interactions. Environmentally, the endophyte may be metabolically aggressive by affecting host defense chemicals (Schulz *et al.*, 1999) Endophytes residing in the host tissue in a symptom less state or one that may be beneficial to its host may turn into a pathogen in response to some environment cuie (Hendry *et al.*, 2002). In view of impact of environment on endophyte distribution and activity, in the present study an attempt has been made to investigate the effect of ecological and seasonal variations on endophytec distribution and relative dominance of endophytes of *Calotropis gigantean* 

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Locality of collection

A total of 333 samples of *Calotropis gigantea* plant parts were collected from different ecological locations of Telangana state, India. Warangal (215) Karimnagar (54) and Khammam (64) in different seasons (summer, rainy, and winter). Healthy and old mature plant parts were carefully chosen for sampling.

#### Isolation of fungal endophytes

Different parts of the plant, *Calotropis gigantea* such as root, stem, leaves and flower were collected from the plants growing in different edaphic and environmental conditions. The samples were brought to the laboratory in sterilized polythene bags and were washed thoroughly in tap water followed by

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: Krishna Reddy, V.,

Mycology Laboratory, Department of Botany, Kakatiya University, Warangal - 506009 Telangana, India.

sterilized water for few minutes to remove dirt and debris (Selvanthan et al., 2011). A total 333 of samples (Warangal-215, Karimnagar-54 and Khammam-64) were selected for sampling. In root maturation zone of elongation were cut in to vertically in 4 to 6 cm segments The mature internodal parts of stem were collected and cut in to 0.4 -0.8 cm. Healthy and mature leaves lateral parts and midrib were cut into approximately 1cm segments (Dobranic et al., 1995). The segments of flower were trimmed to 0.2-0.4 cm. The samples from leaves were dipped in 70 % ethanol for 5 seconds, and then they were transferred to 4 % sodium hypochlorite for 90 seconds and finally rinsed in sterile distilled water for 10 seconds and then removed excess moisture (Amirita et al., 2012). Surface sterilization of stem and root parts were carried out by (Fisher et al., 1993, 1994) method. The segments were immersed first in 75% ethanol for 60 seconds, followed by 4% sodium hypochlorite for 180 seconds, and then again in 75 % ethanol for 30 seconds and finally rinsed in sterile distilled water for 10 seconds. The flower samples were dipped in 30% ethanol for 5 seconds, and then they were immersed in 2% sodium hypochlorite for 60 seconds and rinsed in sterile distilled water for 10 seconds. The samples thus prepared were kept in sterile dry plate to remove excess moisture. The externally sterilized segments were placed on agar plates (Asthana and Hawkers medium and potato dextrose agar medium) supplemented with 150 mg of streptomycin per liter.

Each Petri dish containing 5 segments was incubated at  $27\pm 2$  °C at 12-h light/dark cycle (Suryanarayana *et al.*, 2003). After 10-15 days of incubation the fungal colonies developing from the sample fragments were isolated and transferred to fresh tubes. Colony morphology of each fungus was recorded. Slides of fungal colonies were made with lactophenol cotton blue and observed under microscope. Mycelia, spore characteristics were recorded. Photomicrographs were taken under fluorescent microscope and fungi were identified with the help of standard manuals (Barnett and Hunter, 1998, Singh *et al.*, 1991). Colonization Frequency (CF), Dominant endophytic fungi (DF) and ecological variation in incidence of different groups of fungi were calculated with the help of following formulae. Colonization Frequency (CF)

Colonization Frequency (CF)

Dominant Frequency (DF)

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Endophytic fungi isolated from different parts of *Calotropis gigantea* growing in three different ecological regions viz Warangal, Karimnagar and Khammam are presented in table1 and (Fig.1). In Warangal region a total of 215 samples (root - 40; stem - 65; leaf - 91 and flower -19) were selected. A total of 24 fungal endophytes were isolated from these samples. However the number and diversity of fungal species varied with the plant part.

In general leaf (9) followed by stem (7) harbored more number of species. Least number of species were recorded in flowers. In Karimnagar region 54 samples (root-22; stem-10; leaf-10; flower-12) representing different parts of the plant were screened. Root samples yielded three species whereas stem and leaf were found to be colonized by one species each. Twelve samples of flower yielded 3 endophytic fungal species. The third region surveyed, in Khammam a total of 64 samples representing stem, leaf and flower were screened. From these samples, seven fungal species were isolated. Thirty five samples of stem yielded three species only, whereas the numbers of fungal endophytes were isolated from 25 samples of leaf. Penicillium glabrum, a lone fungal species was isolated from all 4 samples of flower. It is evident from the present data that the incidence and diversity of endophytic fungi associated with Calotropis gigantea varied with geographical distribution of the plant. Data pertaining to seasonal variation in colonization frequency of endophytic fungi associated with Calotropis gigantea is presented in table -2. (Fig.2). It is evident from the table that the incidence of endophytic fungi varied with the seasons. In 360 plant samples only five endophytic fungal species were isolated. In winter season, maximum number of fungal species were isolated, whereas eight species were recorded in rainy season.

The diversity of fungal species also varied with the seasons, as species recorded in each season were quite different. However, sterile mycelium - 2 was isolated in all the three seasons, whereas sterile mycelium -1 was isolated in rainy and winter seasons. Colonization frequency of endophytic fungi with different plant parts also varied with the seasons. In summer, root and flowers were less colonized than the stem and leaf. Aspergillus niger has colonized the root, leaf and flower .However, the four other species were associated with only one part of the plant. Leaf, stem and flower were colonized by three fungal species. Interestingly, colonization frequency of different plant parts was more in rainy and winter seasons. In rainy season colonization frequency, though varied for different species, was same for root, stem and leaf. In case of flower it was less. In winter season colonization frequency for leaf was highest followed by stem and root. Least frequency was observed with flower. Overall, it evident that the diversity of endophytic fungi and colonization frequency of different plant parts varied with the seasons with least in summer and highest in winter. A Preference of colonization of different fungal species is evident with the seasons. Season wise dominant fungi in terms of percentage for different parts of plant were assessed and precised in table -3 (Fig.3). A critical perusal of the table reveals that the percentage of dominant fungi in different parts of Calotropis gigantea varied with the seasons. Domination of individual fungai varied with the plant parts also. In summer season, Aspergillus niger dominated (14.2 %) in root, leaf and flower, whereas Aspergillus flavus (17.6%) and Penicillium citrinum (14.2%) dominated in stem. In rainy season, Alternaria solani was the predominant species in leaf segments. Similarly, sterile mycelium I dominated the root segments. In root, stem and leaf segments Curvularia lunata, Dipolodia calotropidis and Fusarium graminarium dominated respectively. In winter season such variation in dominance of different fungi and different parts of the plant could be observed. More number of fungal endophytes dominated in leaves followed by stem. In root and flower only three fungal species were observed. Thus it is evident that the dominance of fungal species varied both with seasons and plant parts.

| Area of collection     | S.No. | Plant parts               | No of samples | Endophytic fungi isolated    |  |  |
|------------------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--|--|
| Warangal region (215)  | 1     | Root                      | 5             | Aspergillus niger            |  |  |
|                        | 2     | Root                      | 10            | Aspergillus nidulans         |  |  |
|                        | 3     | Root                      | 12            | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 4     | Root                      | 5             | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 5     | Root                      | 8             | Colletotrichum dematium      |  |  |
|                        | 6     | Stem                      | 10            | Aspergillus flavus           |  |  |
|                        | 7     | Stem                      | 15            | Aspergillus nidulans         |  |  |
|                        | 8     | Stem                      | 5             | Fusarium graminarium         |  |  |
|                        | 9     | Stem                      | 10            | Aspergillus terreus          |  |  |
|                        | 10    | Stem                      | 5             | Colletotrichum dematium      |  |  |
|                        | 11    | Stem                      | 10            | Diplodia andamanensis        |  |  |
|                        | 12    | Stem                      | 10            | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 13    | Leaf                      | 5             | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 14    | Leaf                      | 5             | Aspergillus nidulans         |  |  |
|                        | 15    | Leaf                      | 10            | Diplodia calotropidis        |  |  |
|                        | 16    | Leaf                      | 10            | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 17    | 17 Leaf 15 Aspergillus st |               | Aspergillus stellatus        |  |  |
|                        | 18    | Leaf                      | 12            | Aspergillus.oryzae           |  |  |
|                        | 19    | Leaf                      | 12            | Cladosporium cladosporioides |  |  |
|                        | 20    | Leaf                      | 12            | Verticillium dahliae         |  |  |
|                        | 21    | Leaf                      | 10            | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 22    | Flower                    | 4             | Alternaria solani            |  |  |
|                        | 23    | Flower                    | 5             | Aspergillus oryzae           |  |  |
| Karimnagar region (54) | 25    | Root                      | 12            | Curvularia lunata            |  |  |
| 5 6 ( )                | 26    | Root                      | 5             | Aspergillus orvzae           |  |  |
|                        | 27    | Root                      | 5             | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
|                        | 28    | Stem                      | 10            | Penicillium rubrum           |  |  |
|                        | 29    | Leaf                      | 10            | Aspergillus niger            |  |  |
|                        | 30    | Flower                    | 5             | Aspergillus niger            |  |  |
|                        | 31    | Flower                    | 2             | Fusarium graminarium         |  |  |
|                        | 32    | Flower                    | 5             | Sterile mycelium             |  |  |
| Khammam region (64)    | 33    | Stem                      | 15            | Penicillium citrinum         |  |  |
|                        | 34    | Stem                      | 10            | Sterile mycelium 1           |  |  |
|                        | 35    | Stem                      | 10            | Sterile mycelium 2           |  |  |
|                        | 36    | Leaf                      | 12            | Drechslera spicifera         |  |  |
|                        | 37    | Leaf                      | 8             | Alternaria solani            |  |  |
|                        | 38    | Leaf                      | 5             | Aspergillus terreus          |  |  |
|                        | 39    | Flower                    | 4             | Penicillium glabrum          |  |  |

### Table 1. Ecological variation in the incidence of endophytic fungi of Calotropis gigantea in different localities of Telangana state

Table 2. Seasonal variation in colonization frequency of endophytic fungi in Calotropis gigantea during the year 2016 - 2017

| Seasons              | Segments       | S.No | Endophytic fungi             | % colonization frequency |      |      | v      |
|----------------------|----------------|------|------------------------------|--------------------------|------|------|--------|
|                      | 0              |      |                              | Root                     | Stem | Leaf | Flower |
|                      |                | 1    | Aspergillus flavus           | -                        | 4.1  | -    | -      |
| Season - I           |                | 2    | A. niger                     | 3.3                      | -    | 5.2  | 3.3    |
| Summer               | {360 segments} | 3    | Drechslera spicifera         | -                        | -    | 2.7  | -      |
| (March - June)       |                | 4    | Penicillium citrinum         | -                        | 3.3  | -    | -      |
| · · · · ·            |                | 5    | Sterile mycelium 2           | -                        | -    | 1.3  | -      |
|                      |                | 1    | Alternaria solani            | -                        | -    | 5.5  | 1.3    |
| Season -II           |                | 2    | Aspergillus nidulans         | 1.3                      | 2.5  | 2.5  | -      |
| Rainy season         | {360 segments} | 3    | Curvularia lunata            | 4.1                      | -    | -    | -      |
| (July - October)     |                | 4    | Diplodia calotropidis        | -                        | -    | 4.1  | -      |
| · · ·                |                | 5    | Fusarium graminarium         | -                        | 4.2  | -    | 3.8    |
|                      |                | 6    | Penicillium glabrum          | -                        | -    | -    | 1.2    |
|                      |                | 7    | Sterile mycelium 1           | 6.1                      | 3.6  | 1.3  | -      |
|                      |                | 8    | Sterile mycelium 2           | 3.0                      | 0.8  | -    | -      |
|                      |                | 1    | Aspergillus stellatus        | -                        | -    | 6.1  | -      |
|                      |                | 2    | A.terreus                    | -                        | 4.2  | 3.1  | -      |
| Season -III          |                | 3    | A.oryzae                     | 2.5                      | -    | 3.5  | 2.1    |
| Winter season        | {360 segments} | 4    | Penicillium rubrum           | -                        | 6.9  | -    | -      |
| (November -February) |                | 5    | Cladosporium cladosporioides | -                        | -    | 4.7  | 0.5    |
|                      |                | 6    | Colletotrichum dematium      | 3.5                      | 2.5  | -    | -      |
|                      |                | 7    | Diplodia andamanensis        | -                        | 2.5  | -    | -      |
|                      |                | 8    | Verticillium dahliae         | -                        | -    | 3.0  | -      |
|                      |                | 9    | Sterile mycelium 1           | -                        | 2.8  | 4.1  | -      |
|                      |                | 10   | Sterile mycelium 2           | 3.3                      | -    | -    | 1.9    |

A. Photo micrographs of Endophytic fungi isolated from Calotropis gigantea



A. Aspergillus flavus

B. Fusarium solani

C. Penicillium citrinum

B. Colonies of endophytic fungi isolated from different parts of Calotropis gigantean



Fig.1. Colonies and photo micrographs of different parts of endophytic fungi in Calotropis gigantean



Fig.2. Seasonal variation in colonisation frequency of endophytic fungi in Calotropis gigantea (L.) during the year 2016 -2017



Fig.3. Highest Dominanant fungi % of Calotropis gigantea during the three seasons of the year 2016-2017

| Table 3 Dominant endonbytic fund  | i (%) of Calotronis | <i>aigantea</i> during the tl | hree seasons of the year  | $\cdot 2016 - 2017$ |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Table 5. Dominant chuophytic rung | (70) of Culou opts  | gigunica during the t         | in ce scasons or the year | 2010 - 2017         |

| C Ma | Fudantatia funai                   |      | % Dominant fungi |      |        |  |  |
|------|------------------------------------|------|------------------|------|--------|--|--|
| 5.NO | Endopnytic lungi                   | Root | Stem             | Leaf | Flower |  |  |
| А    | Summer (May - June)                |      |                  |      |        |  |  |
| 1    | Aspergillus flavus                 | -    | 17.6             | -    | -      |  |  |
| 2    | A. niger                           | 14.2 | -                | 22.4 | 14.2   |  |  |
| 3    | Drechslera spicifera               | -    | -                | 11.6 | -      |  |  |
| 4    | Penicillium citrinum               | -    | 14.2             | -    | -      |  |  |
| 5    | Sterile mycelium 2                 | -    | -                | 5.6  | -      |  |  |
| В    | Rainy season (July-October)        |      |                  |      |        |  |  |
| 1    | Alternaria solani                  | -    | -                | 12.1 | 2.8    |  |  |
| 2    | Aspergillus nidulans               | 2.8  | 5.5              | 5.5  | -      |  |  |
| 3    | Curvularia lunata                  | 9.0  | -                | -    | -      |  |  |
| 4    | Diplodia calotropidis              | -    | -                | 9.0  | -      |  |  |
| 5    | Fusarium graminarium               | -    | 9.2              | -    | 8.3    |  |  |
| 6    | Penicillium glabrum                | -    | -                | -    | 2.6    |  |  |
| 7    | Sterile mycelium 1                 | 13.4 | 7.9              | 2.8  | -      |  |  |
| 8    | Sterile mycelium 2                 | 6.6  | 1.7              | -    | -      |  |  |
| С    | Winter season (November- February) |      |                  |      |        |  |  |
| 1    | Aspergillus stellatus              | -    | -                | 10.6 | -      |  |  |
| 2    | A.terreus                          | -    | 7.3              | 5.4  | -      |  |  |
| 3    | A.oryzae                           | 4.3  | -                | 6.1  | 3.6    |  |  |
| 4    | Penicillium rubrum                 | -    | 12.0             | -    | -      |  |  |
| 5    | Cladosporium cladosporioides       | -    | -                | 8.2  | 0.8    |  |  |
| 6    | Colletotrichum dematium            | 6.1  | 4.3              | -    | -      |  |  |
| 7    | Diplodia andamanensis              | -    | 4.3              | -    | -      |  |  |
| 8    | Verticillium dahliae               | -    | -                | 5.2  | -      |  |  |
| 9    | Sterile mycelium 1                 | -    | 4.8              | 7.1  | -      |  |  |
| 10   | Sterile mycelium 2                 | 5.7  | -                | -    | 3.3    |  |  |

## DISCUSSION

Environmental factors play a crucial role in distribution and diversity of both host and fungal endophytes. Environmentally, the endophyte may be metabolically aggressive by affecting host defense chemicals (Cabral et al 1993; Peters et al 1998 and Schulz et al 1999). Such a hostile environment may account for the evolution of the potentially increased synthetic ability of the endophytes. The environmental conditions which affect on host plant growth, influence the number and variety of endophytic populations, and affect on metabolites produced by endophytes. The difference in the metabolic profile and their biological activity might be related to the chemical difference of host plants (Paulus et al., 2006). This clearly depends on the environment, and shows the importance of studying host endophyte relationship, and the effect of host plants on endophytic metabolic production. Hence, the importance of the host plant as well as the ecosystem were influencing endophytes metabolite production, and affect on biological activities of endophytes (Selim et al., 2012). The variation in the distribution and diversity of endophytes vis - avis ecological and seasonal variations observed in the present investigations can be attributed to their effect on host that in turn affected the endophytes.

#### Conclusion

The result of the present investigations indicates that more attention should be paid to studying the endophytic biodiversity in host plants growing under varied environmental conditions which is likely reveal the diversity of metabolites produced by them. It may also reveal a useful information on how do the endophytes behave in establishing the biological relationship with host plant under diverse conditions.

#### Acknowledgement

Thanks are due to Dr. M. Surekha Head, Department of Botany, Kakatiya University for encouragement and facilities.

Two of us (A.A & M.A) are also indebted to UGC for providing financial assistance in the form of Rajiv Gandhi National Fellowship. A part of this was also carried out with the financial support provided under UGC-SAP (DRS-III)

#### REFERENCES

- Amirita, A., Sindhu, P., Swetha, J., Vasanthi, N.S. and Kannan, K.P. 2012. Enumeration of endophytic fungi from medicinal plants. *Journal of Science & Technology*, 2, 13-19.
- Arechavaleta, M., Bacon, C.W., Hoveland, C.S., and Radcliffe, D.E. 1989. Effect of the tall fescue endophytes on plant response to environmental stress. *Agronomy Journal 81*, 83 - 90.
- Barnett, H. and Hunter, B.1998. Descriptions and illustrations of genera. Illustrated genera of imperfect fungi (4<sup>th</sup> ed.) *American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN*
- Cabral, D., Stone, J.K. and Carroll, G.C. 1993. The internal mycobiota of *Juncus* spp: microscopic and cultural observations of infection patterns. *Mycological Research* 97, 367-376.
- Dobranic, J.K., Johnson, L.A. and Alikhan, Q.R. 1995. Isolation of endophytic fungi from eastern larch (*Larix laricina*) leaves from New Brunswich, *Canadian. Journal of Botany.* 41, 194-198.
- Fisher, P.J., Petrini, O. and Sutton, B.C. 1993. A comparative study of fungal endophytes in leaves xylem and bark of *Eucalyptus nitens* in Australia and England. *Sydowia*, 45, 338-345
- Fisher, P.J., Petrini, O., Petrini, L.E. and Sutton, B.C. 1994. Fungal endophytes from the leaves and twigs of *Quercus ilex* L. from England Majorea and Switzerland. *New Phytol.* 127, 133-137.
- Giridharan, P., Shilpa, A.V., Amit, K., Mishra, P.D. and Sunil Kumar, D. 2012. Anticancer activity of sclerotiorin isolated from an endophytic fungus *Cephalotheca faveolata* Yaguchi, Nishim. and Udagawa *Indian Journal of Experimental Biology 50*, 464 - 468.

- Hendry, H.J., Boddy, L., and Lonsdale, D. 2002. Abiotic variables effect differential expression of latent infections in beech (*Fagus sylvatica*). New phytologist 155, 449-460.
- Muller, M.M., Valjakka, R., suokko, A.and Huntula, J. 2001. Diversity of endophytic fungi of single Norway spruce needles and their role as pioneer decomposers. *Molecular Ecology* 10, 1801 - 1810.
- Paulus, B., Kanowski, J.,Gadek, P. and Hyde, K.D. 2006. Diversity and Distribution of saprobic microfungi in leaf litter of on Australian tropical rainforest. *Mycological Research 110*, 1441-1454.
- Peters, S., Dammeyer, B. and Schulz, B. 1998. Endophyte host interactions I. Plant defense reactions to an endophyte and a pathogenic fungus. *Symbiosis* 25, 193-211.
- Rodriguez R.J., White J.F., Arnold, A.E. and Redman, R.S. 2008. Fungal endophytes, diversity and functional roles *Tansley review* 10.1469-8137
- Schulz, B., Rommert, A.K., Dammann, U., Aust H.J. and Strack D.1999. The endophyte host interaction a balanced antagonism? *Mycological Research* 103, 1275-1283.
- Selim, K.A., E1 Beih, A.A., Abd, E., Rahman, T.M. and Diwany, A. 2012 Biology of endophytic fungi *Current Research in Environmental* and Applied *Mycology 2* (1): 31-82, Doi 10. 5943 cream 2/1/3.

- Selvanathan, S., Indrakumar, I. and Johnpaul, M. 2011. Biodiversity of the endophytic fungi Isolated from *Calotropis gigantea* (L.) R.Br. *Recent Research in Science and Technology 3*, 94-100.
- Singh, K., Frisvad, J.C., Thrane, U. and Mathur, S. 1991. An illustrated manual on identification of some seed-borne *Aspergilli, Fusaria, Penicillia*.pp. 31 69.
- Strobel, G., Daisy, B. 2003. Bioprospecting for microbial endophytes and their natural products. *Microbiology and Molecular Biology Reviews* 67, 491-502
- Suryanarayanan, T.S., Venkatesan, G. and Murali, T. S. 2003 Endophytic fungal communities in leaves of tropical forest trees: Diversity and distribution patterns, *Current Science 85* (4):486 - 492.
- Zhang, J.Y., Tao, L.Y., Liang, Y.J., Yan, Y.Y., Dai, C.L., Xia, X.K., She, Z.G., Lin, Y.C.and Fu, L.W 2009. Secalonic acid D induced leukemia cell apoptosis and cell cycle arrest of G1 with involvement of GSK-3B/B-catenin/c-Myc

\*\*\*\*\*\*